

On linear congruence relations  
related to 2-adic dilogarithms

J. URBANOWICZ  
A. WÓJCIK

# On linear congruence relations related to 2-adic dilogarithms

Jerzy Urbanowicz      Ambroży Wójcik

Warszawa

*Dedicated to Professor Jerzy Browkin  
on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

## Abstract

The paper gives a further generalization of congruences of the K. Hardy and K.S. Williams [3] type among the values of 2-adic  $L$ -functions  $L_2(k, \chi\omega^{1-k})$  for quadratic Dirichlet characters  $\chi$  and for  $-1 \leq k \leq 2$  which produce some new congruences between the conjectured orders of  $K_2$ -groups of the integers and class numbers of appropriate quadratic fields. These congruences extend results of [2], [5], [3], [6] and are of the same type as congruences of [8] and [7]. We apply ideas of R.F. Coleman [1] and methods of T. Uehara [5].

## 1 Introduction

Let  $k$  be an integer. If  $p$  is a prime number, let  $C_p$  stand for completion of an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  at some place above  $p$ . Consider the formal series:

$$l_k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^k}.$$

This series determines an analytic function on the open unit ball in  $C_p$ . Using "the action of Frobenius" on some differential equations, R.F. Coleman [1] extended  $l_k$  to a locally analytic function  $l_{k,p}$  on  $C_p - \{1\}$ . He gave a  $p$ -adic analogue of some well-known

analytic formulas for the values of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions at integers

$$L_p(k, \chi\omega^{1-k}) = (1 - \chi(p)p^{-k})g(\chi)M^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{M-1} \bar{\chi}(a)l_{k,p}(\zeta^{-a}), \quad (1)$$

extending Leopoldt's formulas for  $L_p(1, \chi)$ , where  $\chi$  denotes a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $M$  ( $M > 1$ ) with values in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  (for positive  $k$  see formula (2) of [1] and for non-positive  $k$  see Theorem 5.11 and Lemma 5.20 [9]). Here  $\zeta := \exp(2\pi i/M)$ ,  $g(\chi)$  stands for the Gauss sum attached to  $\chi$  and  $\omega := \omega_p$  denotes the Teichmüller character at  $p$ . It is well-known that for Dirichlet characters  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  with relatively prime conductors we have  $g(\chi_1\chi_2) = g(\chi_1)g(\chi_2)$ . The formula (1) is true for primitive characters. However note also that if the character  $\chi$  is induced from a character  $\chi_1$  modulo some divisor of  $M$ , then

$$B_{n,\chi} = B_{n,\chi_1} \prod_{p|M} (1 - \chi_1(p)p^{n-1}),$$

where  $B_{n,\chi}$  is the  $n$ th generalized Bernoulli number belonging to the character  $\chi$  (cf. the proof of Theorem [8]). Therefore by

$$L_p(k, \chi) = \lim L_p(1 - n, \chi),$$

where  $1 - n \rightarrow k$   $p$ -adically and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and by Theorem 5.11 [9] we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(k, \chi) &= -\lim (1 - \chi(p)p^{n-1}) \frac{B_{n,\chi}}{n} = -\lim \frac{B_{n,\chi}}{n} \\ &= -\lim \left( \frac{B_{n,\chi_1}}{n} \prod_{q|M, q\text{-prime}} (1 - \chi_1(q)q^{n-1}) \right) \\ &= L_p(k, \chi_1) \prod_{q|M, q \neq p} (1 - \chi_1(q)\omega^{k-1}(q)q^{-k}), \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\lim q^n = \lim (\omega(q) \langle q \rangle)^n = \lim \langle q \rangle^n = \langle q \rangle^{1-k} = q^{1-k} \omega^{k-1}(q),$$

if  $q \neq p$ . Consequently, we obtain

$$L_p(k, \chi\omega^{1-k}) = L_p(k, \chi_1\omega^{1-k}) \prod_{q|M, q \neq p} (1 - \chi_1(q)q^{-k}),$$

but we shall not use this in the paper.

Following R.F. Coleman [1], the functions  $l_k := l_{k,p}$  are called the multilogarithms. For  $-1 \leq k \leq 1$  by definition we get explicit formulas for  $l_k$ :

$$l_{-1}(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2},$$

$$l_0(z) = \frac{z}{1-z},$$

$$l_1(z) = -\log_p(1-z),$$

where  $\log_p$  denotes the  $p$ -adic logarithm. The function  $l_2$  is related to the so-called  $p$ -adic dilogarithm function defined by the formula

$$D(z) = l_2(z) + \frac{1}{2} \log_p(z) \log_p(1-z).$$

It is well-known (see Proposition 6.4 [1]) that

$$l_k(z) + (-1)^k l_k(z^{-1}) = \frac{-1}{k!} \log_p^k(z), \quad (2)$$

( $1/k! := 0$ , if  $k < 0$ ) and for any positive integer  $m$  the functions  $l_k$  satisfy the identity

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\zeta^m=1} l_k(\zeta z) = \frac{l_k(z^m)}{m^k} \quad (3)$$

(see Proposition 6.1 [1] with  $z$  replaced by  $z^m$  on the right hand side of the equation).

Let  $\chi$  be a primitive non-trivial Dirichlet character. Then, it follows from (2) that for  $k \neq 0$

$$\sum_{a=1}^{M-1} \bar{\chi}(a) l_k(\zeta^{-a}) = \left(1 + (-1)^{k+1} \bar{\chi}(-1)\right) \sum_{a=1}^{[M/2]} \bar{\chi}(a) l_k(\zeta^{-a}),$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the integral part of  $x$ . Thus for  $k \neq 0$  and for primitive non-trivial characters  $\chi$ , by (1) we get

$$L_p(k, \chi \omega^{1-k}) = 0, \quad (4)$$

if  $\bar{\chi}(-1) = (-1)^k$  (i.e., if  $\chi$  and  $k$  are of the same parity). If  $k = 0$  then by (2) we have

$$l_k(z) + l_k(z^{-1}) = -1$$

and

$$\sum_{a=1}^{M-1} \bar{\chi}(a) l_k(\zeta^{-a}) = (1 - \bar{\chi}(-1)) \sum_{a=1}^{[M/2]} \bar{\chi}(a) l_k(\zeta^{-a}) - \bar{\chi}(-1) \sum_{a=1}^{[M/2]} \bar{\chi}(a),$$

which gives (4) for an even non-trivial Dirichlet character  $\chi$  at once. For  $k = 0$ , by (1) the equation (4) holds for  $\chi(p) = 1$  too but we shall not use this.

Let  $\chi$  be trivial and let  $k \neq 1$ . If  $k \leq 0$  then, by Theorem 5.11 [9] we have

$$L_p(k, \omega^{1-k}) = -(1 - p^{-k}) \frac{B_{1-k, \chi}}{1 - k}.$$

Thus if  $k = 0$  then (4) holds for the trivial character  $\chi$  too because of the Euler factor equals 0. If  $k \leq -1$  then (4) holds if  $B_{1-k} = 0$ , i.e., if  $k$  is even.

Let  $p$  be finite and let  $E_p$  be a finitely ramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  in  $\mathbf{C}_p$ . If  $k \geq 2$  then

$$L_p(k, \omega^{1-k}) = (1 - p^{-k}) \lim l_{k,p}(z),$$

where  $z \rightarrow 1$  and elements  $z$  lie in  $E_p - \{1\}$  (see the formula (4) in [1]). Thus if  $k \geq 2$  is even then (2) implies

$$2L_p(k, \omega^{1-k}) = -\frac{1}{k!} (1 - p^{-k}) \lim \log_p^k(z) = 0.$$

Summarizing, if  $\chi$  is trivial then (4) holds if  $k \neq 1$  is even, i.e., if  $k$  has the same parity as  $\chi$  again.

Following R.F. Coleman [1], write

$$l_k^{(p)}(z) = l_k(z) - p^{-k} l_k(z^p),$$

where  $l_k = l_{k,p}$ . The functions  $l_k^{(p)}(z)$  are called  $p$ -adic multilogarithms. In particular, in view of (3), we have

$$l_k^{(2)}(z) = \frac{1}{2} (l_k(z) - l_k(-z)). \quad (5)$$

Let  $A$  be an integer. For any Dirichlet character  $\psi$  modulo  $A$ , any integer  $k$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}_2$ , set

$$\mathcal{L}_{k, \psi}(z) = (-1)^{k+1} l_k^{(2)}(z) \quad (z \neq \pm 1),$$

if  $\psi$  is the trivial character modulo  $A$  and

$$\mathcal{L}_{k, \psi}(z) = (-1)^{k+1} g(\bar{\psi}) A^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^A \psi(a) l_k(\zeta_A^a z) \quad (z \neq \zeta_A^a, (a, A) = 1),$$

otherwise.

In particular, if  $A$  is even and  $\psi$  is a quadratic character modulo  $A$  then by (5) we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}(z) = (-1)^{k+1} 2g(\psi) A^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{A/2} \psi(a) l_k^{(2)}(\zeta_A^a z)$$

because

$$\psi\left(\frac{A}{2} + a\right) = -\psi(a).$$

For any odd natural number  $b$ , let  $r(b)$  denote the number of prime factors of  $b$ . Set  $b^* = b$  (resp.  $-b$ ), if  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  (resp.  $b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ). These numbers are examples of the so-called fundamental discriminants (which can be described as the set of square-free numbers of the form  $4n + 1$  and 4 times square-free numbers not of this form). For any fundamental discriminant  $d$ , denote by  $\chi_d$  the primitive quadratic character modulo  $|d|$  (in this notation  $\chi_1$  is the primitive trivial character). Write  $\mathcal{L}_{k,d} = \mathcal{L}_{k,\chi_d}$ . For a natural number  $m$ , denote by  $\mathcal{T}_m$  the set of all fundamental discriminants dividing  $m$ . Let us adopt the notations  $\prod_{p|c}$  (resp.  $\prod_{a=1}^c$ ,  $\sum_{a=1}^c$ ) to stand for a product taken over all primes dividing  $c$  (resp. a product or a sum taken over integers  $a$  prime to  $c$ ).

## 2 The Main Theorem

Let  $K = \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ . Let us consider a sequence of 2-adic integers  $\{x_{k,e}\}$ ,  $k \in K$ ,  $e \in \mathcal{T}_8$ . For any  $L \subset K$  this sequence is said to be defined on  $L$ , if  $x_{k,e} = 0$  for  $k \notin L$ . Given  $\{x_{k,e}\}$ , let us define a sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$  by the following:

$$z_0 = \sum_{k \in K, e \in \mathcal{T}_8} x_{k,e}, \quad z_1 = 2 \sum_{\substack{k \in K, e \in \mathcal{T}_8, \\ \text{sgn } e = (-1)^k}} x_{k,e},$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2l+\rho} &= 2^{l+\rho} \left( 2^l (2l+1)^2 ((1-\rho)x_{-1,1} + x_{-1,-4}) \right. \\ &\quad - (2l-1)(2l+1)^2 ((1-\rho)x_{-1,8} + x_{-1,-8}) + (2l+1)^2 (x_{0,1} + (1-\rho)x_{0,-4}) \\ &\quad + 2^l (2l+1) ((1-\rho)x_{1,1} + x_{1,-4}) + (2l+1) ((1-\rho)x_{1,8} + x_{1,-8}) \\ &\quad \left. + 2^{3l} \binom{2l}{l}^{-1} \left( (x_{2,1} + (1-\rho)x_{2,-4}) + \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{2k}{k} 2^{-3k} (x_{2,8} + (1-\rho)x_{2,-8}) \right) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where  $l \geq 1$  and  $\rho \in \{0, 1\}$ .

It is evident that the numbers  $z_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$  are 2-adic integers. Indeed, it is well known that

$$\text{ord}_2 \binom{2t}{t} = s_2(t),$$

where  $s_2(t)$  denotes the sum of digits in the 2-adic expansion of  $t$ . Thus we have

$$\text{ord}_2 \left( 2^{3l} \binom{2l}{l}^{-1} \right) = 3l - s_2(l) \geq 2l. \quad (7)$$

Moreover, we observe that

$$\text{ord}_2 \left( 2^{3l} \binom{2l}{l}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{2k}{k} 2^{-3k} \right) = \text{ord}_2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^l \frac{l!((2k-1!)_2}{k!((2l-1!)_2} 2^{2(l-k)} \right) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where  $(t!)_2 := 1 \cdot 3 \cdots t$  ( $t$  odd) denotes the 2-adic factorial.

**DEFINITION.** For any non-empty subset  $L \subset K$ , let  $c := c(L) \geq 0$  be an integer such that:

(i) there exists a sequence of 2-adic integers  $\{x_{k,e}\}$  defined on  $L$ , not all being even, satisfying

$$z_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^c},$$

if  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

(ii) if for some sequence of 2-adic integers  $\{x_{k,e}\}$  defined on  $L$  we have

$$z_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{c+1}}$$

then all the numbers  $x_{k,e}$  are even.

If  $\chi$  is a primitive Dirichlet character and  $M > 1$  is any natural number then for  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  we set

$$L_2^{[M]}(k, \chi\omega^{1-k}) = 0,$$

if  $k = 1$  and  $\chi$  is trivial, and

$$L_2^{[M]}(k, \chi\omega^{1-k}) = \prod_{p|M, p\text{-prime}} (1 - \chi(p)p^{1-k}) L_2(k, \chi\omega^{1-k}),$$

otherwise.

Our purpose is to prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $m > 1$  be a square-free odd natural number having  $r := r(m)$  prime factors and let  $\Psi: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_2$  be a multiplicative function satisfying  $\Psi(s) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , if  $s|m$ . Set  $K = \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ . Let  $L$  be a non-empty subset of  $K$  having  $\delta$  elements and let  $x := \{x_{k,e}\}_{k \in K, e \in \mathcal{T}_\delta}$  be a sequence of 2-adic integers not all being even defined on  $L$ . Write*

$$\mathcal{J}_m = \begin{cases} (\log_2 m)/2, & \text{if } m \text{ is a prime number,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the number

$$\Lambda_2(x, m) := \sum_{\substack{e \in \mathcal{T}_\delta, \\ k \in K}} (-1)^{k+1} x_{k,e} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_m} \Psi(|d|) L_2^{[m]}(k, \chi_{ed} \omega^{1-k}) + x_{1,1} \mathcal{J}_m$$

is a 2-adic integer divisible by  $2^{r+\lambda}$ , where  $2^\lambda$  is the greatest common divisor of  $2^{c(L)}$  and  $z_n$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq 2c(L) - 2$ , and

$$c(L) = [(7\delta - 3)/2] + \sigma,$$

$\sigma = 1$ , if  $L = \{-1, 1\}$  or  $\{0, 2\}$ , and  $\sigma = 0$ , otherwise.

**REMARK.** These congruences are of the same general type as those of [2], [5] and also of [3], [6], [7], [8]. In particular, for  $L = \{0, 1\}$  we get Gras-Uehara's congruences for class numbers of quadratic fields which are modulo  $2^{r(m)+5}$  and for  $L = \{-1, 0\}$  (resp.  $L = \{0\}$ ) we obtain congruences modulo  $2^{r(m)+5}$  (resp. modulo  $2^{r(m)+2}$ ) for the same objects as those in [6] (resp. in [3]). These objects are equal to the orders of  $K_2$ -groups of the rings of integers of real quadratic fields or class numbers of appropriate imaginary quadratic fields. If  $2 \in L$  then the obtained congruences are quite new and especially interesting. They produce, via a 2-adic version of the Lichtenbaum conjecture, some new congruences for the orders of  $K_2$ -groups of the integers of imaginary quadratic fields. For a deeper discussion of this case we refer the reader to the last section of the paper.

### 3 Lemmas

The proof of the Main Theorem is divided into a sequence of lemmas. First, we shall extend Lemma 3 [5].

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character modulo  $M > 1$  and let  $N$  be a multiple of  $M$  such that  $N/M > 0$  is a rational square-free integer prime to  $M$ . Set  $\zeta_N = \zeta_M \zeta_{N/M}$ . Then for any integer  $k$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{k,\chi}(N) &:= \sum'_{a=1}^N \chi(a) l_k(\zeta_N^a) \\ &= (-1)^{r(N/M)} \prod_{p|(N/M)} (1 - \bar{\chi}(p) p^{1-k}) \sum'_{b=1}^M \chi(b) l_k(\zeta_M^b). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $q$  be a prime number. Then for any natural number  $n$  not divisible by  $q$  we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{k,\chi}(nq) = (q^{1-k} - \chi(q)) \mathcal{S}_{k,\chi}(n). \quad (9)$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{k,\chi}(nq) &= \sum'_{a=1}^n \sum'_{c=0}^{q-1} \chi(cn + a) l_k(\zeta_{nq}^{cn+a}) - \sum'_{b=1}^n \chi(bq) l_k(\zeta_{nq}^{bq}) \\ &= \sum'_{a=1}^n \chi(a) \sum'_{c=0}^{q-1} l_k(\zeta_{nq}^a \zeta_q^c) - \chi(q) \mathcal{S}_{k,\chi}(n) \end{aligned}$$

and (9) is implied by the identity (3) at once.

Now the lemma follows from (9) by induction on the number of prime factors of  $N$ .  $\square$

From now on we regard  $l_k$  as the multilogarithms defined on  $\mathbb{C}_2 - \{1\}$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Given any odd integer  $M$ , let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $M$ . Suppose that  $N$  is an odd multiple of  $M$  such that  $N/M$  is square-free and relatively prime to  $M$ . Let  $\psi$  be either the trivial primitive Dirichlet character or a primitive Dirichlet character of even conductor prime to  $N$ . Let  $\omega$  denote the Teichmüller character at  $p = 2$  and set  $\zeta_N = \zeta_M \zeta_{N/M}$ . Then for  $k \in K$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{k,\psi} &:= \Lambda_{k,\psi}(N, \chi) = g(\bar{\chi}) M^{-1} \sum'_{a=1}^N \chi(a) \mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}(\zeta_N^a) \\ &= (-1)^{r(N/M)+k+1} \prod_{p|(N/M)} (1 - \bar{\chi}\bar{\psi}(p) p^{1-k}) L_2(k, \bar{\chi}\bar{\psi}\omega^{1-k}), \end{aligned}$$

unless  $k = 1$  and the characters  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  are trivial, in which case we have

$$\Lambda_{k,\psi} = \sum_{a=1}^N \mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}(\zeta_N^a) = \begin{cases} -(\log_2 N)/2, & \text{if } N \text{ is a prime power,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $M > 1$  and  $\psi$  is the trivial character then since  $N$  is odd we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{k+1} \Lambda_{k,\psi}(N, \chi) \\ &= g(\bar{\chi}) M^{-1} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{a=1}^N \chi(a) \mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}(\zeta_N^a) = g(\bar{\chi}) M^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^N \chi(a) l_k^{(2)}(\zeta_N^a) \\ &= g(\bar{\chi}) M^{-1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^N \chi(a) l_k(\zeta_N^a) - 2^{-k} \bar{\chi}(2) \sum_{a=1}^N \chi(2a) l_k(\zeta_N^{2a}) \right) \\ &= g(\bar{\chi}) M^{-1} (1 - 2^{-k} \bar{\chi}(2)) \sum_{a=1}^N \chi(a) l_k(\zeta_N^a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 1 and (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{r(N/M)+k+1} \Lambda_{k,\psi}(N, \chi) \\ &= g(\bar{\chi}) M^{-1} (1 - 2^{-k} \bar{\chi}(2)) \prod_{p|(N/M)} (1 - \bar{\chi}(p) p^{1-k}) \sum_{b=1}^M \chi(b) l_k(\zeta_M^b) \\ &= \prod_{p|(N/M)} (1 - \bar{\chi}(p) p^{1-k}) L_2(k, \bar{\chi} \omega^{1-k}) \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows.

If  $\psi$  is a nontrivial character modulo  $A$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{k+1} \Lambda_{k,\psi}(N, \chi) \\ &= g(\bar{\psi}) A^{-1} g(\bar{\chi}) M^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^N \chi(a) \sum_{b=1}^A \psi(b) l_k(\zeta_N^a \zeta_A^b) \\ &= g(\bar{\psi} \bar{\chi}) (AM)^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^N \sum_{b=1}^A \chi(a) \psi(b) l_k(\zeta_N^a \zeta_A^b) \end{aligned}$$

$$= g(\bar{\psi}\bar{\chi})(AM)^{-1} \sum'_{a=1}^{NA} (\chi\psi)(a) l_k(\zeta_{NA}^a).$$

Thus, by Lemma 1 and (1) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{r(N/M)+k+1} \Lambda_{k,\psi}(N, \chi) \\ &= g(\bar{\psi}\bar{\chi})(AM)^{-1} \prod_{p|(N/M)} (1 - \bar{\chi}\bar{\psi}(p)p^{1-k}) \sum'_{b=1}^{MA} (\chi\psi)(b) l_k(\zeta_{MA}^b) \\ &= \prod_{p|(N/M)} (1 - \bar{\chi}\bar{\psi}(p)p^{1-k}) L_2(k, \bar{\chi}\bar{\psi}\omega^{1-k}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma in case when either  $\chi$  or  $\psi$  is not trivial. In order to finish the proof of the lemma it remains to consider the case when both the characters  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  are trivial. Then, by definition of the functions  $\mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}$  we have

$$(-1)^{k+1} \Lambda_{k,\psi} = \sum'_{a=1}^N l_k^{(2)}(\zeta_N^a) = (1 - 2^{-k}) \sum'_{a=1}^N l_k(\zeta_N^a).$$

Thus, we get

$$\Lambda_{k,\psi} = 0$$

if  $k = 0$  or  $k = 2$  because of (2) and  $\log_2(\zeta_N^a) = 0$ .

On the other hand,  $L_2(0, \omega) = L_2(2, \omega^{-1}) = 0$  (see the Introduction) and the right hand side of the equation of the lemma equals 0, too.

If  $k = 1$  then we have

$$\Lambda_{k,\psi} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum'_{a=1}^N \log_2(1 - \zeta_N^a) = -\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( \prod'_{a=1}^N (1 - \zeta_N^a) \right),$$

and consequently

$$\Lambda_{k,\psi} = -(\log_2 N)/2,$$

if  $N$  is a prime power and  $\Lambda_{k,\psi} = 0$ , otherwise.

Finally, if  $k = -1$  then we have

$$\Lambda_{k,\psi} = \sum'_{a=1}^N L_{-1}(\zeta_N^a) = \sum'_{a=1}^N \frac{\zeta_N^a}{(1 - \zeta_N^a)^2} = r'_2(N) - r'_1(N), \quad (10)$$

where

$$r'_k(N) = \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_N^a)^k}.$$

It is easy to see that  $r'_1(N) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(N)$  because

$$\frac{1}{1 - \zeta_N^a} + \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_N^{N-a}} = 1.$$

In order to calculate  $r'_2(N)$ , let us observe that for any arithmetical function  $f$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq x \\ (a, N)=1}} f(a) &= \sum_{1 \leq a \leq x} \left( \sum_{d|(a, N)} \mu(d) \right) f(a) = \sum_{d|N} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq x \\ d|a}} f(a) \\ &= \sum_{d|N} \mu(d) \sum_{1 \leq a \leq x/d} f(ad) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, putting

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_N^a)^k}$$

and  $x = N - 1$  we get the formula

$$r'_k(N) = \sum_{d|N} \mu(d) r_k(N/d), \quad (11)$$

where

$$r_k(n) = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_n^a)^k}$$

and  $\zeta := \zeta_n = \exp(2\pi i/n)$ .

Let us compute  $r_2(n)$ . Then the numbers  $\zeta^a - 1$ ,  $1 \leq a \leq n - 1$  are all the zeros of the polynomial

$$(x + 1)^n + \dots + (x + 1) + 1 = \frac{(x + 1)^n - 1}{x}.$$

Therefore, all the zeros of the polynomial

$$(1 + x)^n - x^n = nx^{n-1} + \binom{n}{2}x^{n-2} + \binom{n}{3}x^{n-3} + \dots$$

are of the form  $x_a := 1/(\zeta^a - 1)$ , where  $1 \leq a \leq n - 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} r_2(n) &= \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} x_a^2 = \left( \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} x_a \right)^2 - 2 \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq n-1} x_a x_b \\ &= \left( \frac{n-1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{3} \\ &= -\frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above to (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} r_2'(N) &= -\frac{N^2}{12} \sum_{d|N} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} + \frac{N}{2} \sum_{d|N} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} - \frac{5}{12} \sum_{d|N} \mu(d) \\ &= -\frac{N^2}{12} \prod_{p|N} (1 - p^{-2}) + \frac{N}{2} \prod_{p|N} (1 - p^{-1}) \\ &= -\frac{N^2}{12} \prod_{p|N} (1 - p^{-2}) + \frac{\phi(N)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma for  $k = -1$  follows from (10) and Theorem 5.11 [9]. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer. Set  $\gamma_n = -1$ , if  $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ , and  $\gamma_n = 1$ , otherwise. Then we have*

(i)

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{n-k} \frac{4^{2k} (-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} = \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2},$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{n-k} \frac{4^{2k} (-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{2l}{l} 2^{-3l} = \frac{\gamma_n}{(2n+1)^2}.$$

*Proof.* (A. Granville) Set

$$\lambda_k = (-1)^k 2^{4k} \binom{n+k}{n-k} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1}.$$

The main idea of the proof is to note the following identity:

$$\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1} = \left( \frac{2n+1}{2k+1} \right)^2 \lambda_k$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ . Thus the left hand side of the equation (i) of the lemma equals

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_k}{(2k+1)^2} = \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) = \frac{\lambda_0}{(2n+1)^2} = \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2}.$$

The identity (ii) is a little more subtle. Multiplying through by  $(2n+1)^2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2n+1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_k}{(2k+1)^2} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{2l}{l} 2^{-3l} &= \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{2l}{l} 2^{-3l} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \binom{2k}{k} 2^{-3k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{n-k} (-2)^k. \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate the last sum, note that  $\binom{n+k}{n-k}$  is the coefficient of  $t^n$  in

$$\frac{t^k}{(1-t)^{2k+1}}.$$

Thus our sum is the coefficient of  $t^n$  in

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-2t)^k}{(1-t)^{2k+1}} = \frac{1}{(1-t)} \frac{1}{1 - ((-2t)/(1-t)^2)} = \frac{1-t}{1+t^2} = \frac{1-t-t^2+t^3}{1-t^4}$$

and so equals  $-1$ , if  $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $1$ , otherwise.  $\square$

Now we extend Lemmas 6 and 7 of [5]. In the two next lemmas let  $\xi \neq 1$  be a primitive  $N$ th root of unity, where  $N$  is an odd natural number.

**LEMMA 4.** For any  $e \in \mathcal{T}_8$  write  $\alpha = \text{sgn } e$  and set

$$w_\alpha = \frac{\alpha \xi}{1 + \alpha \xi^2}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{-1,e}(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (4\alpha)^k w_{\alpha}^{2k+1}, & \mathcal{L}_{0,e}(\xi) &= w_{-\alpha}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{1,e}(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4\alpha)^k w_{\alpha}^{2k+1}}{2k+1}, & \mathcal{L}_{2,e}(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16\alpha)^k w_{-\alpha}^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

if  $e \in \mathcal{T}_4$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{-1,e}(\xi) &= -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\alpha)^k (2k-1) w_{\alpha}^{2k+1}, & \mathcal{L}_{0,e}(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-2\alpha)^k w_{-\alpha}^{2k+1}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{1,e}(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\alpha)^k w_{\alpha}^{2k+1}}{2k+1}, & \mathcal{L}_{2,e}(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16\alpha)^k w_{-\alpha}^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{2l}{l} 2^{-3l},\end{aligned}$$

if  $e \in \mathcal{T}_8 - \mathcal{T}_4$ .

*Proof.* As for the expansions of  $\mathcal{L}_{\nu,e}(\xi)$  for  $\nu = 0, 1$ , we refer the reader to Lemma 6 [5]. Let us consider the case of  $\nu = -1$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{-1,e}(\xi) = -\frac{\alpha\xi(1 + \alpha\xi^2)}{(1 - \alpha\xi^2)^2},$$

if  $e \in \mathcal{T}_4$ . In this case it suffices to use the 2-adic expansion

$$\sum_{n \geq 1, n\text{-odd}} x^n = \frac{x}{1 - x^2} \tag{12}$$

with  $x = 2\omega_{\alpha}\sqrt{\alpha}$ . Furthermore, if  $e \notin \mathcal{T}_4$  then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{-1,e}(\xi) = -\frac{\alpha\xi(\xi^2 + \alpha)(\xi^4 - 4\alpha\xi^2 + 1)}{(1 + \xi^4)^2}.$$

In this case it sufficient to apply (12) together with the 2-adic series

$$\sum_{n \geq 1, n\text{-odd}} nx^n = \frac{x(1 + x^2)}{(1 - x^2)^2}$$

with  $x = \omega_\alpha \sqrt{2\alpha}$  in both formulas. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\alpha)^k (2k-1) \omega_\alpha^{2k+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k-1) (\omega_\alpha \sqrt{2\alpha})^{2k+1} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1, n \text{ odd}} n (\omega_\alpha \sqrt{2\alpha})^n - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1, n \text{ odd}} (\omega_\alpha \sqrt{2\alpha})^n. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the lemma in the case of  $\nu = 2$ . Then, let us consider the following 2-adic series

$$G_e := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16\alpha)^k \eta_{k,e} \omega_{-\alpha}^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)^2},$$

where

$$\eta_{k,e} = \begin{cases} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{2l}{l} 2^{-3l}, & \text{if } e \notin \mathcal{T}_4, \\ \binom{2k}{k}^{-1}, & \text{if } e \in \mathcal{T}_4. \end{cases}$$

By (7) and (8) the series  $G_e$  converges. Furthermore, setting  $\gamma^2 = \alpha$  we have formally

$$\begin{aligned} G_e &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16\alpha)^k \eta_{k,e}}{(2k+1)^2} \left( \frac{-\alpha\xi}{1-\alpha\xi^2} \right)^{2k+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16\alpha)^k \eta_{k,e}}{(2k+1)^2} \left( -\alpha\xi \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\gamma\xi)^{2l} \right)^{2k+1} \\ &= -\gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16)^k \eta_{k,e}}{(2k+1)^2} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\gamma\xi)^{2l+1} \right)^{2k+1} \\ &= -\gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16)^k \eta_{k,e}}{(2k+1)^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{2k+l}{l} (\gamma\xi)^{2(k+l)+1} \\ &= -\gamma \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^l \frac{(-16)^k \eta_{k,e}}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{l+k}{l-k} (\gamma\xi)^{2l+1} \\ &= -\gamma \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\gamma\xi)^{2l+1} \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l+k}{l-k} \frac{(-16)^k \eta_{k,e}}{(2k+1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

because for any function  $f$  we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f(k, l) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^l f(k, l-k).$$

Therefore by Lemma 3, we have

$$G_e = \mathcal{L}_{2,e}(\xi).$$

Since both the series converge Lemma 4 for  $\nu = 2$  follows.  $\square$

We need some congruences between the numbers  $\mathcal{L}_{k,e}(\xi)$ , where  $k \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ .

**LEMMA 5.** *Set  $K = \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ . Let  $\{x_{k,e}\}_{k \in K, e \in \mathcal{T}_8}$  be a sequence of integers in  $\mathbf{C}_2$  not all being even defined on a non-empty subset  $L$  of  $K$  having  $\delta$  elements. Then we have*

(i)

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in L, \\ e \in \mathcal{T}_8}} x_{k,e} \mathcal{L}_{k,e}(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\lambda},$$

where  $2^\lambda$  is the greatest common divisor of  $2^{c(L)}$  and  $z_n$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq 2c(L) - 2$ ,

(ii)

$$c(L) = [(7\delta - 3)/2] + \sigma,$$

where  $\sigma = 1$ , if  $L = \{-1, 1\}$  or  $\{0, 2\}$ , and  $\sigma = 0$ , otherwise.

*Proof.* From the previous lemma we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &:= \sum_{\substack{k \in L, \\ e \in \mathcal{T}_8}} x_{k,e} \mathcal{L}_{k,e}(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_8} (x_{-1,e} \mathcal{L}_{-1,e}(\xi) + x_{0,e} \mathcal{L}_{0,e}(\xi) + x_{1,e} \mathcal{L}_{1,e}(\xi) + x_{2,e} \mathcal{L}_{2,e}(\xi)) \\ &= (x_{-1,1} + x_{-1,8} + x_{0,-4} + x_{0,-8} + x_{1,1} + x_{1,8} + x_{2,-4} + x_{2,-8})\omega_1 \\ &\quad + (x_{-1,-4} + x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,1} + x_{0,8} + x_{1,-4} + x_{1,-8} + x_{2,1} + x_{2,8})\omega_{-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 4^k x_{-1,1} - 2^k(2k-1)x_{-1,8} + 2^k x_{0,-8} + \frac{2^k}{2k+1} x_{1,1} + \frac{2^k}{2k+1} x_{1,8} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{16^k}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} x_{2,-4} + \frac{16^k}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{2l}{l} 2^{-3l} x_{2,-8} \omega_1^{2k+1} \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left( 4^k x_{-1,-4} - 2^k (2k-1) x_{-1,-8} + 2^k x_{0,8} + \frac{4^k}{2k+1} x_{1,-4} + \frac{2^k}{2k+1} x_{1,-8} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{16^k}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} x_{2,1} + \frac{16^k}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{2l}{l} 2^{-3l} x_{2,8} \omega_1^{2k+1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\Lambda = z_0 \omega_1 + z_1 v_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} (z_{2k} \omega_1^{2k+1} + z_{2k+1} v_{2k+1}),$$

where

$$v_{2k+1} := v_{2k+1}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (-1)^k \omega_{-1}^{2k+1} - \omega_1^{2k+1} \right)$$

is an integer in  $\mathbf{C}_2$  and the coefficients  $z_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$  are defined by (6). Without loss of generality we may assume that not all  $x_{k,e}$  ( $k \in K, e \in \mathcal{T}_8$ ) are even. Denote by  $2^\lambda$  the highest power of 2 dividing all  $z_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . By definition, part (i) of the lemma follows immediately and we have  $\lambda \leq c(L)$ .

In order to prove part (ii) we need consider 4 cases:

1. Let  $L = K$ .

Then we shall prove that  $c(L) = 12$ . Putting (for example)

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{-1,-4} &= x_{-1,-8} = 1, \\
x_{0,1} &= x_{0,8} = -3, \\
x_{1,-4} &= x_{1,-8} = -61, \\
x_{2,1} &= x_{2,8} = 63,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$x_{k,1} = -x_{k,-4}, \quad x_{k,8} = -x_{k,-8},$$

we shall show that  $z_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{12}}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , i.e., that  $c(L) \geq 12$ . Indeed, it is easily seen that

$$z_1 = 0, \quad z_{2l} = 0 \quad (l \geq 0).$$

Moreover, by (6) we have

$$z_3 = 4(18x_{-1,-4} - 9x_{-1,-8} + 9x_{0,8} + 6x_{1,-4} + 3x_{1,-8} + 5x_{2,8} + 4x_{2,1}), \quad (13)$$

and so  $z_3 = 0$ .

On the other hand, putting

$$\eta_{l,1} = 2^{3l} \binom{2l}{l}^{-1},$$

$$\eta_{l,2} = \eta_{l,1} \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{2k}{k} 2^{-3k},$$

if  $l \geq 1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_l &:= z_{2l+3} - \frac{8(l+1)}{2l+1} z_{2l+1} \\ &= 2^{l+2} (2^{l+1} (2l+3)^2 x_{-1,-4} - (2l+1)(2l+3)^2 x_{-1,-8} + (2l+3)^2 x_{0,8} \\ &\quad + 2^{l+1} (2l+3) x_{1,-4} + (2l+3) x_{1,-8} + \eta_{l+1,1} x_{2,1} + \eta_{l+1,2} x_{2,8}) \\ &\quad - \frac{2^{l+4} (l+1)}{2l+1} (2^l (2l+1)^2 x_{-1,-4} - (2l-1)(2l+1)^2 x_{-1,-8} \\ &\quad + (2l+1)^2 x_{0,8} + 2^l (2l+1) x_{1,-4} + (2l+1) x_{1,-8} + \eta_{l,1} x_{2,1} + \eta_{l,2} x_{2,8}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t_l &= 2^{l+2} (x_{2,8} + (8l^3 - 12l^2 - 34l - 13)x_{-1,-8} + (5 - 4l^2)x_{0,8} - (2l+1)x_{1,-8}) \\ &\quad + 2^{2l+3} ((6l+7)x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

because

$$\eta_{l+1,1} - \frac{4(l+1)}{2l+1} \eta_{l,1} = 0$$

and

$$\eta_{l+1,2} - \frac{4(l+1)}{2l+1} \eta_{l,2} = 1.$$

We shall prove that  $2^{12} | z_{2l+1}$ ,  $l \geq 1$ . If  $l \geq 11$  then this is obvious by (6). In order to prove this for  $l \leq 10$  it suffices to prove that  $2^{12} | t_l$ , if  $l \leq 9$ . For our sequence  $\{x_{k,e}\}$ , by (14) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} t_l &= 2^{l+2} (63 + (8l^3 - 12l^2 - 34l - 13) - 3(5 - 4l^2) + 61(2l+1)) \\ &\quad + 2^{2l+3} ((6l+7) - 61) = 2^{l+5} (l^3 + 11l + 12) + 2^{2l+4} (3l - 27), \end{aligned}$$

and consequently  $t_l = 0$ , if  $l \leq 5$  and  $t_l \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{12}}$ , if  $6 \leq l \leq 9$  as is easy to check. Thus we have  $c(L) \geq 12$ . In order to prove that  $c(L) = 12$  let us assume that  $c(L) \geq 13$ , i.e.,  $2^{13}|z_n$ , if  $n \geq 0$ . Therefore, by (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\equiv -2^7 t_1 + 2^7 t_2 - 2^3 t_3 - 2^2 \cdot 7 t_4 + 2 \cdot 5 t_5 - t_6 \\
&\equiv -2^{10}(x_{2,8} + 5x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} - 3x_{1,-8}) + 2^{12}(x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \\
&\quad + 2^{11}(x_{2,8} - x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} - x_{1,-8}) \\
&\quad - 2^8(x_{2,8} - 7x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} - 7x_{1,-8}) + 2^{12}(x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \\
&\quad - 2^8 \cdot 7(x_{2,8} + 11x_{-1,-8} + 5x_{0,8} - 9x_{1,-8}) \\
&\quad + 2^8 \cdot 5(x_{2,8} + 5x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} - 11x_{1,-8}) \\
&\quad - 2^8(x_{2,8} - 9x_{-1,-8} - 11x_{0,8} - 13x_{1,-8}) \\
&\equiv 2^{12}x_{0,8} \pmod{2^{13}},
\end{aligned}$$

and consequently  $x_{0,8}$  must be even. Since  $2^{13}|z_n$  we have  $2^{13}|t_l$ , and so by  $2l+3 \geq l+2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_l &:= x_{2,8} + (8l^3 - 12l^2 - 34l - 13)x_{-1,-8} + (5 - 4l^2)x_{0,8} - (2l + 1)x_{1,-8} \\
&\quad + 2^{l+1}((6l + 7)x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{11-l}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\gamma_7 \equiv x_{2,8} + x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{16}, \tag{16}$$

$$\gamma_8 \equiv x_{2,8} + 3x_{-1,-8} - 3x_{0,8} - x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}. \tag{17}$$

Therefore we get

$$\gamma_7 + \gamma_8 \equiv 2x_{2,8} + 4x_{-1,-8} - 2x_{0,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

and consequently we deduce  $2x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  because  $x_{0,8}$  is even. Thus  $x_{2,8}$  must be even too.

Substituting  $l = 6, 4$  to (15) gives the congruences

$$\gamma_6 \equiv x_{2,8} - 9x_{-1,-8} - 11x_{0,8} - 13x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{32}, \tag{18}$$

$$\gamma_4 \equiv x_{2,8} + 11x_{-1,-8} + 5x_{0,8} - 9x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{32}. \tag{19}$$

Consequently, it may be concluded that

$$\gamma_6 - \gamma_4 \equiv -20x_{-1,-8} - 16x_{0,8} - 4x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{32},$$

and we get

$$-5x_{-1,-8} - x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \quad (20)$$

because  $x_{0,8}$  is even.

On the other hand, by (16) and (18) we obtain

$$\gamma_6 - \gamma_7 \equiv 3x_{-1,-8} + 2x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

and consequently we find that

$$-x_{-1,-8} + x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \quad (21)$$

Adding the above and (20) implies

$$-6x_{-1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

and so

$$x_{-1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

The above together with (20) yields

$$x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Substituting  $l = 1, 2$  to (15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &\equiv x_{2,8} + 13x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} - 3x_{1,-8} \\ &\quad + 4(5x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \equiv 0 \pmod{32}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2 &\equiv x_{2,8} - x_{-1,-8} - 11x_{0,8} - 5x_{1,-8} \\ &\quad + 8(3x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \equiv 0 \pmod{32}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the above, and by (16) and (18) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \gamma_6 &\equiv 2x_{2,8} - 14x_{-1,-8} + 2x_{0,8} - 14x_{1,-8} - 16x_{-1,-4} \\ &\equiv 2x_{2,8} + 2x_{-1,-8} + 2x_{0,8} + 2x_{1,-8} - 16(x_{-1,-8} + x_{1,-8}) - 16x_{-1,-4} \\ &\equiv 2\gamma_7 - 16x_{-1,-4} \equiv 0 \pmod{32} \end{aligned}$$

because  $x_{-1,-8} + x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Hence  $x_{-1,-4}$  must be even. Furthermore, by the above and by (22), (18), (16), (17) we get

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_6 - \gamma_7 - \gamma_8 \equiv 4x_{-1,-4} + 4x_{1,-4} \equiv 4x_{1,-4} \pmod{8},$$

and consequently  $x_{1,-4}$  must be even.

In order to prove that  $x_{2,1}$  is even we shall use the congruence  $z_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{32}$ . By (13), we obtain

$$(z_3/4) \equiv 2x_{-1,-4} - x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} - 2x_{1,-4} + 3x_{1,-8} + 5x_{2,8} + 4x_{2,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Therefore, by (17) and (18) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} (z_3/4) + \gamma_8 - \gamma_6 &\equiv (2x_{-1,-4} - x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} - 2x_{1,-4} + 3x_{1,-8} + 5x_{2,8} + 4x_{2,1}) \\ &+ (x_{2,8} + 3x_{-1,-8} - 3x_{0,8} - x_{1,-8}) - 2(x_{2,8} - 9x_{-1,-8} - 11x_{0,8} - 13x_{1,-8}) \\ &\equiv 2(x_{-1,-4} - x_{1,-4}) + 4(x_{2,8} + x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8}) + 4x_{2,1} \pmod{8}, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently we get

$$2(x_{-1,-4} - x_{1,-4}) + 4x_{2,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}. \quad (23)$$

On the other hand, by (22) and (16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 - \gamma_7 &\equiv (x_{2,8} - 3x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} - 3x_{1,-8} + 4(x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4})) - (x_{2,8} + x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8}) \\ &\equiv -4(x_{-1,-8} + x_{1,-8}) + 4(x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}, \end{aligned}$$

and so by (21) we get

$$x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

because  $x_{1,-8}$  is even.

The above together with (23) imply  $4x_{2,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  because  $x_{1,-4}$  is even and  $x_{2,1}$  must be even. At last since  $(z_1/2)$  is even it may be concluded that  $x_{0,1}$  is even too.

Summarizing, we have proved that  $x_{k,e}$  is even if  $\text{sgne} = (-1)^k$ . In order to prove that  $x_{k,e}$  are also even in case  $\text{sgne} \neq (-1)^k$ , let us note that

$$2z_{2l} = z_{2l+1} + \tilde{z}_{2l+1}, \quad (24)$$

where  $\tilde{z}_{2l+1}$  comes into  $z_{2l+1}$  by substituting  $x_{k,1}$  (resp.  $x_{k,-4}$ ,  $x_{k,8}$  or  $x_{k,-8}$ ) instead of  $x_{k,-4}$  (resp.  $x_{k,1}$ ,  $x_{k,-8}$  or  $x_{k,8}$ ). We have

$$2^{13} \mid z_{2l}, z_{2l+1},$$

and so

$$2^{13} \mid \tilde{z}_{2l+1}.$$

Thus, by the same reasoning as in the case of  $\text{sgn}e = (-1)^k$  we get  $2 \mid x_{k,e}$  in the other case.

2. Let  $\delta = 3$ .

In this case we shall prove that  $c(L) = 9$ . First, we shall show that  $c(L) \geq 9$ . Indeed, putting (for example)

$$x_{-1,-4} = -x_{-1,-8} = a_{-1},$$

$$x_{0,1} = -x_{0,8} = a_0,$$

$$x_{1,-4} = -x_{1,-8} = a_1,$$

$$x_{2,1} = -x_{2,8} = a_2,$$

and

$$x_{k,1} = -x_{k,-4}, \quad x_{k,8} = -x_{k,-8} \quad (k \in L),$$

where  $a_k := a_k(L)$ ,  $k \in K$ ,  $a_k = 0$ , if  $k \notin L$  and the remaining  $a_k$  are defined by the following:

$$a_{-1} = 1, \quad a_0 = -2, \quad a_1 = -15, \quad \text{if } L = \{-1, 0, 1\},$$

$$a_{-1} = 2, \quad a_0 = -19, \quad a_2 = 225, \quad \text{if } L = \{-1, 0, 2\},$$

$$a_{-1} = 1, \quad a_1 = -19, \quad a_2 = -30, \quad \text{if } L = \{-1, 1, 2\},$$

$$a_0 = -1, \quad a_1 = 2, \quad a_2 = 15, \quad \text{if } L = \{0, 1, 2\},$$

we shall prove that  $z_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^9}$ , if  $n \geq 0$ . In fact, in all these cases we have  $z_1 = z_{2n} = 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover, by (13) we get

$$z_3 = 4(27a_{-1} - 9a_0 + 3a_1 - a_2),$$

and so  $z_3 = 0$ , as easy to check. Thus in order to prove that  $z_{2l+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^9}$  for  $2 \leq l \leq 7$ , it suffices to show that  $t_l \equiv 0 \pmod{2^9}$  for  $1 \leq l \leq 6$ .

Indeed, by (14) we get

$$t_l = 2^{l+4}(-2l^3 + l^2 + l + 2) + 2^{2l+4}(3l - 4),$$

if  $2 \notin L$ ,

$$t_l = 2^{l+4}(-4l^3 - 13l^2 + 17l + 26) + 2^{2l+4}(6l + 7),$$

if  $1 \notin L$ ,

$$t_l = 2^{l+4}(-2l^3 + 3l^2 - l + 6) + 2^{2l+4}3(l - 2),$$

if  $0 \notin L$ ,

$$t_l = 2^{l+4}(-l^2 + l - 2) + 2^{2l+4},$$

if  $-1 \notin L$ .

Therefore in all these cases we have  $t_l \equiv 0 \pmod{2^9}$ , if  $l \geq 4$ . Furthermore, an easy computation shows that  $t_l = 0$ , if  $l \leq 3$ .

In order to prove that  $c(L) = 9$ , suppose, contrary to our claim, that  $c(L) \geq 10$ , i.e.,  $z_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{10}}$ , if  $n \geq 0$ . Then we shall prove that all the  $x_{k,e}$  must be even. In view of (24), it suffices, similarly as in case  $L = K$ , to check it for  $k \in L$ ,  $e \in \mathcal{T}_8$  satisfying  $\text{sgne} = (-1)^k$ .

Since  $t_l \equiv 0 \pmod{2^9}$ , we can apply the congruence (15) modulo  $2^{8-l}$  (instead of modulo  $2^{11-l}$ ). Then, by (14) we get the congruences

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_l &\equiv (8l^3 - 12l^2 - 34l - 13)x_{-1,-8} + (5 - 4l^2)x_{0,8} - (2l + 1)x_{1,-8} \\ &\quad + 2^{l+1}((6l + 7)x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{8-l}}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $2 \notin L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_l &\equiv x_{2,8} + (8l^3 - 12l^2 - 34l - 13)x_{-1,-8} + (5 - 4l^2)x_{0,8} \\ &\quad + 2^{l+1}(6l + 7)x_{-1,-4} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{8-l}}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $1 \notin L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_l &= x_{2,8} + (8l^3 - 12l^2 - 34l - 13)x_{-1,-8} - (2l + 1)x_{1,-8} \\ &\quad + 2^{l+1}((6l + 7)x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{8-l}}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $0 \notin L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_l &= x_{2,8} + (5 - 4l^2)x_{0,8} - (2l + 1)x_{1,-8} \\ &\quad + 2^{l+1}x_{1,-4} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{8-l}}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $-1 \notin L$ .

Regarding the case  $2 \notin L$ . Then we have:

$$\gamma_1 \equiv 52x_{-1,-4} - 51x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} + 4x_{1,-4} - 3x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{128},$$

$$\gamma_2 \equiv 24x_{-1,-4} - x_{-1,-8} - 11x_{0,8} + 8x_{1,-4} - 5x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{64},$$

$$\gamma_3 \equiv 16x_{-1,-4} - 7x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} + 16x_{1,-4} - 7x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{32},$$

$$\gamma_4 \equiv 11x_{-1,-8} + 5x_{0,8} - 9x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{16},$$

$$\gamma_5 \equiv 5x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} - 3x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\gamma_6 \equiv -x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} - x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 - \gamma_5 \equiv 4x_{-1,-4} + 4x_{1,-4} \pmod{8},$$

and so  $x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}$  must be even.

Consequently, we obtain

$$0 \equiv \gamma_2 - \gamma_4 \equiv 4(x_{-1,-8} + x_{1,-8}) \pmod{16},$$

i.e.,

$$x_{-1,-8} + x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \quad (25)$$

Hence we get

$$0 \equiv \gamma_6 + x_{-1,-8} + x_{1,-8} \equiv x_{0,8} \pmod{4},$$

and consequently

$$0 \equiv \gamma_4 + \gamma_5 \equiv 4x_{1,-8} \pmod{8}.$$

Hence and from (25),  $x_{1,-8}$  and  $x_{-1,-8}$  must be even. On other hand, by (13) we find that

$$0 \equiv (z_3/4) - \gamma_2 \equiv 2(x_{-1,-4} - x_{1,-4}) \pmod{8}$$

because  $x_{0,8}$  is divisible by 4, and so

$$x_{-1,-4} - x_{1,-4} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \quad (26)$$

Thus, by  $4|x_{0,8}$  and  $2|x_{-1,-8}$  we get

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 + \gamma_3 - 2\gamma_2 \equiv 4(x_{-1,-4} - x_{1,-4}) \equiv 0 \pmod{16},$$

which together with (26) imply  $2x_{-1,-4} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and consequently  $x_{-1,-4}$ ,  $x_{1,-4}$  must be even.

Finally, since  $(z_1/2)$  is even  $x_{0,1}$  must be even and so all the  $x_{k,e}$  are even. Contradiction.

Regarding the case  $1 \notin L$ . Then we have:

$$\gamma_1 \equiv 52x_{-1,-4} - 51x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{128},$$

$$\gamma_2 \equiv 24x_{-1,-4} - x_{-1,-8} - 11x_{0,8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{64},$$

$$\gamma_3 \equiv 16x_{-1,-4} - 7x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{32},$$

$$\gamma_4 \equiv -5x_{-1,-8} + 5x_{0,8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{16},$$

$$\gamma_5 \equiv -3x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\gamma_6 \equiv -x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 - \gamma_5 \equiv 4x_{-1,-4} \pmod{8},$$

and so  $x_{-1,-4}$  must be even.

Furthermore, we get

$$0 \equiv \gamma_2 - \gamma_4 \equiv 4x_{-1,-8} \pmod{16},$$

and consequently  $x_{-1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , which implies

$$0 \equiv \gamma_4 - \gamma_5 \equiv 4x_{0,8} \pmod{8}.$$

Thus  $x_{0,8}$  must be even and

$$0 \equiv \gamma_4 + \gamma_3 \equiv 6x_{0,8} + 2x_{2,8} \pmod{16},$$

which gives

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 + \gamma_4 \equiv 4x_{-1,-4} \pmod{16}$$

because  $x_{-1,-8}$  is even.

Consequently, we have  $x_{-1,-4} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , which together with (13) yield

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 - (z_3/4) \equiv 4x_{2,1} \pmod{8}.$$

Thus  $x_{2,1}$  and, by  $2|(z_1/2)$ ,  $x_{0,1}$  must be even. Summarizing, by the same reasoning as in the previous cases, all the  $x_{k,e}$  are even. Contradiction.

Regarding the case  $0 \notin L$ . Then we have:

$$\gamma_1 \equiv 52x_{-1,-4} - 51x_{-1,-8} + 4x_{1,-4} - 3x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{128},$$

$$\gamma_2 \equiv 24x_{-1,-4} - x_{-1,-8} + 8x_{1,-4} - 5x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{64},$$

$$\gamma_3 \equiv 16x_{-1,-4} + 9x_{-1,-8} + 16x_{1,-4} - 7x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{32},$$

$$\gamma_4 \equiv 11x_{-1,-8} - 9x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{16},$$

$$\gamma_5 \equiv -3x_{-1,-8} - 3x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\gamma_6 \equiv -x_{-1,-8} - x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Consequently, we get

$$0 \equiv \gamma_4 + \gamma_5 \equiv 4x_{1,-8} + 2x_{2,8} \pmod{8},$$

and so  $x_{2,8}$  must be even. Next, we have

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 - \gamma_5 \equiv 4(x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \pmod{8},$$

and so  $x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}$  must be even, too.

Hence, since  $\gamma_6$ ,  $x_{2,8}$  and  $(z_1/2)$  are even,  $x_{2,1}$  must be even and

$$0 \equiv \gamma_4 - \gamma_2 \equiv -4(x_{-1,-8} + x_{1,-8}) \pmod{16}.$$

Consequently, the congruence (25) in this case holds and  $x_{2,8}$  must be even because  $\gamma_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Moreover, we get

$$0 \equiv \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \equiv 4x_{1,-8} \pmod{8},$$

and so  $x_{1,-8}$  must be even. Furthermore, since  $\gamma_6$  and  $x_{2,8}$  are even,  $x_{-1,-8}$  must be even and, by (13), we deduce

$$0 \equiv (z_3/4) - \gamma_2 \equiv 2(x_{-1,-4} - x_{1,-4}) \pmod{8},$$

because  $x_{2,1}$  and  $x_{2,8}$  are even. This implies the congruence (26) in this case.

On the other hand, we have

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 - \gamma_3 \equiv 4(x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \pmod{16},$$

and so  $x_{-1,-4}$  and  $x_{1,-4}$  must be even because of (26).

Summarizing, by the same arguments as in the previous cases all the  $x_{k,e}$  must be even. Contradiction.

Regarding the case  $-1 \notin L$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 &\equiv x_{0,8} + 4x_{1,-4} - 3x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{128}, \\ \gamma_2 &\equiv -11x_{0,8} + 8x_{1,-4} - 5x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{64}, \\ \gamma_3 &\equiv x_{0,8} + 16x_{1,-4} - 7x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{32}, \\ \gamma_4 &\equiv 5x_{0,8} - 9x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{16}, \\ \gamma_5 &\equiv x_{0,8} - 3x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \\ \gamma_6 &\equiv x_{0,8} - x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 - \gamma_5 \equiv 4x_{1,-4} \pmod{8},$$

and consequently  $x_{1,-4}$  must be even. Furthermore, we obtain

$$0 \equiv \gamma_2 - \gamma_4 \equiv 4x_{1,-8} \pmod{16},$$

i.e.,  $x_{1,-8}$  is divisible by 4. Moreover, we find that

$$0 \equiv \gamma_3 - \gamma_4 \equiv 4x_{0,8} \pmod{8},$$

i.e.,  $x_{0,8}$ , and next  $x_{2,8}$  must be even (because  $\gamma_6$  is even).

On other hand, we have

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 - \gamma_3 \equiv 4x_{1,-4} \pmod{16},$$

i.e.,  $x_{1,-4}$  is divisible by 4.

Hence we get

$$0 \equiv (z_3/4) - \gamma_1 \equiv 4x_{2,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$$

because  $x_{1,-8}$  is divisible by 4 and  $x_{2,8}$  is even. Consequently,  $x_{2,1}$  must be even. This completes the proof of the lemma in case  $\delta = 3$ .

3. Let  $\delta = 2$ .

In this case we shall prove that  $c(L) = c_0$ , where  $c_0 = 5$  unless  $L = \{-1, 1\}$  or  $L = \{0, 2\}$ , in which cases  $c_0 = 6$ .

First, we shall show that  $c(L) \geq c_0$ . Putting (for example)

$$x_{-1,-4} = x_{-1,-8} = b_{-1},$$

$$x_{0,1} = x_{0,8} = b_0,$$

$$x_{1,-4} = x_{1,-8} = b_{-1},$$

$$x_{2,1} = x_{2,8} = b_2,$$

and

$$x_{k,1} = -x_{k,-4}, \quad x_{k,8} = -x_{k,-8} \quad (k \in L),$$

where  $b_k := b_k(L)$ ,  $k \in K$ ,  $b_k = 0$ , if  $k \notin L$  and the remaining  $b_k$  are defined by the following:

$$b_k = -b_l = 1,$$

if  $L = \{k, l\}$ ,  $k < l$ , we shall prove that  $z_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{c_0}}$ , if  $n \geq 0$ .

Indeed, in all these cases we have  $z_1 = z_{2n} = 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover, by (13) we have

$$z_3 = 36(b_{-1} + b_0 + b_1 + b_2),$$

and so  $z_3 = 0$ , as easy to check.

In order to prove that  $z_{2l+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{c_0}}$  for  $l \geq 2$ , it suffices to show that  $t_l \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{c_0}}$  for  $l \geq 1$ . In fact, by (14) we get

$$t_l = 2^{l+4}(2l^3 - 3l^2 - 8l - 3) + 2^{2l+4}3(l+1),$$

if  $L = \{-1, 1\}$ ,

$$t_l = 2^{l+4}(1 - l^2),$$

if  $L = \{0, 2\}$ ,

$$t_l = 2^{l+3}(4l^3 - 4l^2 - 17l - 9) + 2^{2l+3}(6l + 7),$$

if  $L = \{-1, 0\}$ ,

$$t_l = 2^{l+3}(4l^3 - 6l^2 - 17l - 7) + 2^{2l+3}(6l + 7),$$

if  $L = \{-1, 2\}$ ,

$$t_l = -2^{l+3}(l+1) + 2^{2l+3},$$

if  $L = \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$t_l = 2^{l+3}(-2l^2 + l + 3) - 2^{2l+3},$$

if  $L = \{0, 1\}$ .

In two the first cases we have  $t_1 = 0$  and  $2^6 | t_l$ , if  $l \geq 2$ . In the remaining cases it is easily seen that  $2^5 | t_l$ , too. This gives  $c(L) \geq c_0$ . In order to prove that  $c(L) \leq c_0$  let us suppose, contrary to our claim, that  $c(L) \geq c_0 + 1$ , i.e.,  $z_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{c_0+1}}$ , if  $n \geq 0$ . Then we shall prove that all the  $x_{k,e}$  must be even. Again, it suffices to prove that  $x_{k,e}$  are even in case  $\text{sgn} e = (-1)^k$ .

Since  $t_l \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{c_0+1}}$  we can use the congruence (15) modulo  $2^{c_0-l-1}$  (instead of  $2^{11-l}$ ). Then, by (14) we get the congruences

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_l &\equiv (8l^3 - 12l^2 - 34l - 13)x_{-1,-8} - (2l + 1)x_{1,-8} \\ &\quad + 2^{l+1}((6l + 7)x_{-1,-4} + x_{1,-4}) \pmod{2^{5-l}}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $L = \{-1, 1\}$ ,

$$\gamma_l \equiv x_{2,8} + (5 - 4l^2)x_{0,8} \pmod{2^{5-l}},$$

if  $L = \{0, 2\}$ ,

$$\gamma_l \equiv (8l^3 - 12l^2 - 34l - 13)x_{-1,-8} + (5 - 4l^2)x_{0,8} + 2^{l+1}(6l + 7)x_{-1,-4} \pmod{2^{4-l}},$$

if  $L = \{-1, 0\}$ ,

$$\gamma_l \equiv x_{2,8} + (8l^3 - 12l^2 - 34l - 13)x_{-1,-8} + 2^{l+1}(6l + 7)x_{-1,-4} \pmod{2^{4-l}},$$

if  $L = \{-1, 2\}$ ,

$$\gamma_l \equiv x_{2,8} - (2l + 1)x_{1,-8} + 2^{l+1}x_{1,-4} \pmod{2^{4-l}},$$

if  $L = \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$\gamma_l \equiv (5 - 4l^2)x_{0,8} - (2l + 1)x_{1,-8} + 2^{l+1}x_{1,-4} \pmod{2^{4-l}},$$

if  $L = \{0, 1\}$ .

Regarding the case  $L = \{-1, 1\}$ . Then we have:

$$\gamma_1 \equiv 4x_{-1,-4} - 3x_{-1,-8} + 4x_{1,-4} - 3x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{16},$$

$$\gamma_2 \equiv -x_{-1,-8} + 3x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\gamma_3 \equiv -3x_{-1,-8} - 3x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

and

$$(z_1/2) \equiv x_{-1,-4} + x_{-1,-8} + x_{1,-4} + x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{64},$$

$$(z_3/4) \equiv 2x_{-1,-4} - 9x_{-1,-8} + 6x_{1,-4} + 3x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{32}.$$

Hence we get

$$0 \equiv (z_3/4) - \gamma_2 \equiv 2(x_{-1,-4} - x_{1,-4}) \pmod{8},$$

i.e.,  $x_{-1,-4} - x_{1,-4} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , which implies

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \equiv 4x_{-1,-8} \pmod{8}.$$

Consequently,  $x_{-1,-8}$  must be even, and so  $x_{1,-8}$  must be even too because  $(z_1/2)$  is even. Moreover, we have

$$0 \equiv (z_1/2) - \gamma_3 - (x_{-1,-4} - x_{1,-4}) \equiv 2x_{1,-4} \pmod{4},$$

and so  $x_{1,-4} \equiv x_{-1,-4} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

Regarding the case  $L = \{0, 2\}$ . Then we have:

$$\gamma_1 \equiv x_{0,8} + x_{2,8} \pmod{16},$$

$$\gamma_2 \equiv 5x_{0,8} + x_{2,8} \pmod{8},$$

$$(z_3/4) \equiv 9x_{0,8} + 5x_{2,8} + 4x_{2,1} \pmod{32}.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$0 \equiv \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \equiv 4x_{0,8} \pmod{8},$$

i.e.,  $x_{0,8}$  and  $x_{2,8}$  must be even because  $\gamma_1$  is even. Consequently, since  $\gamma_1$  is divisible by 8 we find that  $0 \equiv (z_3/4) \equiv 4x_{2,1} \pmod{8}$ , i.e.,  $x_{2,1}$  must be even.

Regarding the case  $L = \{-1, 0\}$ . Then we have:

$$\gamma_1 \equiv 4x_{-1,-4} - 3x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\gamma_2 \equiv -x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$(z_3/4) \equiv 2x_{-1,-4} - 9x_{-1,-8} + 9x_{0,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$$

Thus we get

$$0 \equiv (z_3/4) - \gamma_2 \equiv 2x_{-1,-4} \pmod{4},$$

i.e.,  $x_{-1,-4}$  must be even.

On the other hand, we have

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \equiv 2x_{0,8} \pmod{4},$$

i.e.,  $x_{0,8}$  must be even, and so  $x_{-1,-8}$  must be even too because  $\gamma_2$  is even.

Regarding the case  $L = \{-1, 2\}$ . Then we have:

$$\gamma_1 \equiv 4x_{-1,-4} + 5x_{-1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\gamma_2 \equiv -x_{-1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$(z_3/4) \equiv 2x_{-1,-4} - 9x_{-1,-8} + 4x_{2,1} + 5x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$$

Hence we get

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \equiv 2x_{-1,-8} \pmod{4},$$

i.e.,  $x_{-1,-8}$  and  $x_{2,8}$  must be even because  $\gamma_2$  is even. Consequently, we have

$$0 \equiv (z_3/4) - \gamma_2 \equiv 2x_{-1,-4} \pmod{4},$$

i.e.,  $x_{-1,-4}$  must be even.

Regarding the case  $L = \{1, 2\}$ . Then we have:

$$\gamma_1 \equiv 4x_{1,-4} - 3x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\gamma_2 \equiv -x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$(z_3/4) \equiv 6x_{1,-4} + 3x_{1,-8} + 5x_{2,8} + 4x_{2,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$$

Thus we have

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \equiv 2x_{2,8} \pmod{4},$$

i.e.,  $x_{2,8}$  and  $x_{1,-8}$  must be even because  $\gamma_2$  is even. Next, we have

$$0 \equiv (z_3/4) - \gamma_2 \equiv 2x_{1,-4} \pmod{4},$$

and so  $x_{1,-4}$  must be even. To finish the proof of the lemma in this case it remains to prove that  $x_{2,1}$  is even. But it follows easily because  $(z_1/2)$  is even.

Regarding the case  $L = \{0, 1\}$ . This case was considered in [2] and [5]. Then we have:

$$\gamma_1 \equiv x_{0,8} + 4x_{1,-4} - 3x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_2 &\equiv x_{0,8} - x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ (z_3/4) &\equiv 9x_{0,8} + 6x_{1,-4} + 3x_{1,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$0 \equiv \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \equiv 2x_{0,8} \pmod{4},$$

i.e.,  $x_{0,8}$  and  $x_{1,-8}$  must be even because  $\gamma_2$  is even. Moreover, we have

$$0 \equiv (z_3/4) - \gamma_2 \equiv 2x_{1,-4} \pmod{4},$$

i.e.,  $x_{1,-4}$  must be even, which completes the proof of the lemma in case  $\delta = 2$ .

4. Let  $\delta = 1$ .

In this case we shall prove that  $c(L) = 2$ . First, we shall show that  $c(L) \geq 2$ . Let  $L = \{k\}$ . Set

$$x_{k,1} = -x_{k,8} = 1,$$

if  $k$  is even and

$$x_{k,-4} = -x_{k,-8} = 1,$$

if  $k$  is odd, and

$$x_{k,1} = -x_{k,-4}, \quad x_{k,8} = -x_{k,-8},$$

and  $x_{l,e} = 0$ , if  $l \in K$ ,  $l \neq k$ . For any  $k \in K$  we have  $z_1 = z_{2n} = 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover, by definition  $4|z_{2l+1}$ , if  $l \geq 0$ . Thus we have proved that  $c(L) \geq 2$ . Let us suppose, contrary to our claim, that  $c(L) \geq 3$ , i.e., that  $8|z_n$ , if  $n \geq 0$ . We must prove that all the  $x_{k,e}$  are even. Again, it suffices to prove it in case  $\text{sgn} e = (-1)^k$ . By (13) and  $4|(z_1/2)$ , we get

$$x_{k,1} + x_{k,8} \equiv x_{k,-4} + x_{k,-8} \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

and since  $(z_3/4)$  is even,  $x_{k,e}$  satisfying  $\text{sgn} e = (-1)^k$  must be even. Consequently by the same reasoning as previously all the  $x_{k,e}$  ( $k \in L$ ,  $e \in \mathcal{T}_8$ ) must be even and the lemma is proved completely.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem

By Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_2(x, m) &= (-1)^r \sum_{\substack{k \in K, \\ e \in \mathcal{T}_8}} (-1)^{k+1} (-1)^{k+1} x_{k,e} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_m} \Psi(|d|) \mu(|d|) g(\chi_d) |d|^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^m \chi_d(a) \mathcal{L}_{k,e}(\zeta_m^a)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^r \sum_{a=1}^m \sum'_{\substack{k \in K, \\ e \in \mathcal{T}_8}} x_{k,e} \mathcal{L}_{k,e}(\zeta_m^a) \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_m} \Psi(|d|) \mu(|d|) g(\chi_d) |d|^{-1} \chi_d(a) \\
&= (-1)^r \sum_{a=1}^m \sum'_{\substack{k \in K, \\ e \in \mathcal{T}_8}} x_{k,e} \mathcal{L}_{k,e}(\zeta_m^a) \cdot \left( \prod_{p|m} (1 - \Psi(p) g(\chi_{p^*}) p^{-1} \chi_{p^*}(a)) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $p^* = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p$ . Therefore it follows from Lemma 4 that the numbers  $\Lambda_2(x, m)$  are 2-adic integers and since

$$\Psi(p) g(\chi_{p^*}) |p|^{-1} \chi_{p^*}(a) - 1 \equiv 1 + \zeta_p + \cdots + \zeta_p^{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

by Lemma 5 they are divisible by  $2^{r+\lambda}$ . The latter lemma implies the rest of the theorem immediately.  $\square$

**REMARK.** A similar proof works for the numbers

$$L_2^{[m, \theta]}(k, \chi \omega^{1-k}) = \prod_{p|m, p\text{-prime}} (1 - \chi(p) \theta(p) p^{1-k}) L_2(k, \chi \omega^{1-k}),$$

where  $\theta: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_2$  is a multiplicative function (satisfying  $\theta(s) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  for  $s|m$ ) instead of the numbers  $L_2^{[m]}(k, \chi \omega^{1-k})$ .

## 5 Applications

For  $k \leq 0$ , by Theorem 5.11 [9] we get

$$L_p(k, \chi \omega^{1-k}) = -(1 - \chi(p) p^{-k}) \frac{B_{1-k, \chi}}{1-k}.$$

Therefore, for  $k = -1$  and  $0$  we have

$$L_p(-1, \chi \omega^2) = -(1 - \chi(p) p) \frac{B_{2, \chi}}{2},$$

and

$$L_p(0, \chi \omega) = -(1 - \chi(p)) B_{1, \chi}.$$

On the other hand, the Mazur-Wiles-Kolster-Greither theorem (earlier the Birch-Tate conjecture) for real quadratic fields  $F$  states

$$\eta k_2(D) = B_{2, \chi_D},$$

where  $D$  is the discriminant of  $F$ ,  $k_2(D) := |K_2(O_F)|$  and  $O_F$  (resp.  $K_2$ ) denotes the integers in  $F$  (resp. the Milnor functor). Here  $\eta(5) := 1/5$ ,  $\eta(8) := 1/2$  and  $\eta(D) := 1$ , if  $D > 8$ . If  $D = 1$ , write  $k_2(D) = 2$  and  $\eta(D) = 1/12$ . Moreover the Dirichlet class number formulas for imaginary quadratic fields  $F$  state

$$\xi h(D) = -B_{1, \chi_D},$$

where  $h(D)$  stands for the class number of  $F$ , and  $\xi(-3) := 1/3$ ,  $\xi(-4) := 1/2$  and  $\xi(D) := 1$ , if  $D < -4$ .

The above formulas give (for  $\chi = \chi_D$ )

$$L_p(-1, \chi_D \omega^2) = -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \chi_D(p)p)\eta k_2(D), \quad (27)$$

if  $D \geq 5$  and

$$L_p(0, \chi \omega) = (1 - \chi(p))\xi h(D). \quad (28)$$

if  $D \leq -3$ .

If  $k = 1$  and  $\chi_D$  is an even quadratic character then, by the Leopoldt formulas we obtain

$$L_p(1, \chi_D) = 2(1 - \chi(p)p^{-1})D^{-1/2}h(D)\log_p \varepsilon(D), \quad (29)$$

where  $\varepsilon(D)$  denotes the fundamental unit of a quadratic field with the discriminant  $D$  (see Theorems 5.18 and 5.24 [9]).

As usual the complex and  $p$ -adic formulas "differ by an Euler factor". Indeed, the corresponding complex formulas are of the form

$$L(-1, \chi_D) = -\frac{1}{2}\eta k_2(D),$$

if  $D \geq 5$ ,

$$L(0, \chi_D) = \xi h(D),$$

if  $D \leq -3$  (for both the formulas see Theorem 4.2 [9]), and

$$L(1, \chi_D) = 2D^{-1/2}h(D)\log \varepsilon(D),$$

if  $D \geq 5$  (see Chapter 4 [9]).

If  $D \leq -3$  then the modified complex Lichtenbaum conjecture states

$$L(2, \chi_D) = 2R_2|D|^{-3/2}k_2(D),$$

where  $R_2 := R_2(D)$  denotes the second Borel regulator of the corresponding quadratic field (see Notes and comments to §2, p. 199, [4]). Consequently, by analogy the  $p$ -adic Lichtenbaum conjecture should read

$$L_p(2, \chi_D \omega^{-1}) = 2(1 - \chi_D(p)p^{-2})R_{2,p}|D|^{-3/2}k_2(D), \quad (30)$$

where  $R_{2,p} := R_{2,p}(D)$  denotes the second  $p$ -adic Borel regulator of the corresponding quadratic field.

For any fundamental discriminant, let

$$H(D) = \begin{cases} \xi(D)h(D), & \text{if } D \leq -3, \\ D^{-1/2}h(D)\log_2 \varepsilon(D), & \text{if } D \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

$$K_2(D) = \begin{cases} \eta(D)k_2(D), & \text{if } D \geq 5, \\ |D|^{-3/2}h(D)R_{2,2}(D)k_2(D), & \text{if } D \leq -3. \end{cases}$$

Then via the  $p$ -adic Lichtenbaum conjecture for imaginary quadratic fields and by (27), (28), (29) and (30) (for  $p = 2$ ), we can rewrite the main theorem in the form:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $m > 1$  be a square-free odd natural number having  $r$  prime factors and let  $\Psi: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_2$  be a multiplicative function such that  $\Psi(s) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , if  $s|m$ . Set  $K = \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ . Let  $L$  be a non-empty subset of  $K$  having  $\delta$  elements and let  $\{x_{k,e}\}_{k \in K, e \in \mathcal{T}_8}$  be a sequence of 2-adic integers defined on  $L$ . Set*

$$\Lambda := \Lambda_{-1} + \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda'_{-1} + \Lambda'_1,$$

where

$$\Lambda_{-1} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_8} x_{-1,e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_m, \\ 1 \neq ed > 0}} \Psi(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p|m, \\ p\text{-prime}}} (1 - \chi_{ed}(p)p^2)(1 - \chi_{ed}(2)2) K_2(ed),$$

$$\Lambda_0 = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_8} x_{0,e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_m, \\ ed < 0}} \Psi(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p|m, \\ p\text{-prime}}} (1 - \chi_{ed}(p)p)(1 - \chi_{ed}(2))H(ed),$$

$$\Lambda_1 = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_8} x_{1,e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_m, \\ 1 \neq ed > 0}} \Psi(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p|m, \\ p\text{-prime}}} (1 - \chi_{ed}(p))(2 - \chi_{ed}(2))H(ed),$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_8} x_{2,e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_m, \\ ed < 0}} \Psi(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p|m, \\ p\text{-prime}}} (1 - \chi_{ed}(p)p^{-1})(4 - \chi_{ed}(2))K_2(ed),$$

and

$$\Lambda'_{-1} = \frac{1}{12} x_{-1,1} \prod_{\substack{p|m, \\ p\text{-prime}}} (1 - p^2),$$

$$\Lambda'_1 = \begin{cases} (x_{1,1} \log_2 m)/2, & \text{if } m \text{ is a prime number,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assume in case  $2 \in L$  that the 2-adic Lichtenbaum conjecture for imaginary quadratic fields holds. Then the number  $\Lambda$  is a 2-adic integer divisible by  $2^{r+\lambda}$ , where  $\lambda$  has the same meaning as in the main theorem.  $\square$

**REMARK.** The above theorem produces many new congruences between the orders of  $K_2$ -groups of the integers and class numbers of appropriate quadratic fields modulo higher powers of 2. We shall deal with such congruences in another paper.

## References

- [1] R.F. Coleman, Dilogarithms, *Regulators and p-adic L-functions*, Invent. Math., **69** (1982), 171–208.
- [2] G. Gras, *Relations congruentielles linéaires entre nombres de classes de corps quadratiques*, Acta Arith., **52** (1989), 147–162.
- [3] K. Hardy and K.S. Williams, *A congruence relating the class numbers of complex quadratic fields*, Acta Arith., **47** (1986), 263–276.

- [4] D. Ramakrishnan, *Regulators, Algebraic Cycles, and Values of L-Functions*, AMS Contemp. Math., **83** (1989), 183–310.
- [5] T. Uehara, *On linear congruences between class numbers of quadratic fields*, J. Number Theory, **34** (1990), 362–392.
- [6] J. Urbanowicz, *Connections between  $B_{2,\chi}$  for even quadratic Dirichlet characters  $\chi$  and class numbers of appropriate imaginary quadratic fields, II*, Compositio Math., **75** (1990), 271–285, Corrigendum: *ibidem* **75** (1991), 119–125.
- [7] J. Szmidt and J. Urbanowicz, *Some new congruences for generalized Bernoulli numbers of higher orders*, preprint F194-LF05 of the Fields Institute for Research in Math. Sciences, 1994.
- [8] J. Szmidt, J. Urbanowicz and D. Zagier, *Congruences among generalized Bernoulli numbers*, Acta Arithmetica (to appear).
- [9] L.C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Springer Verlag, New York Inc., 1982.

Institute of Mathematics  
Polish Academy of Sciences  
ul. Śniadeckich 8  
00-950 Warszawa, Poland